## LB Haver

LB H	lavering Corporate Risk Registe	r 2016/17 Quarter4					Risk Increased ↑ Risk Static ↔ I	Risk Decreased ↓				
Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Ass	Currer essme Risk ent con place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Ass	ontroll essme Risk ALL co	nt of	
No.	Details of Nisk Event	negative oonsequences	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	NISK OWNER	mingating Actions / Controls	Control Cwilet	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
	Failure to manage statutory and/or	Decisions taken are not					Governance and assurance board meet quarterly and overseas key aspects of the governance framework, risk management and assurance functions - monitoring compliance and reporting by exception to Senior Leadership Team on issues and risks	Sarah Homer				
	regulatory responsibilities.	robust enough to withstand challenge leading to	1				Constitution is regularly reviewed  Robust procedures for decision-making and training on the decision-	Daniel Fenwick	-			
004		reputational damage, legal				Andrew Blake-	making process is provided	Daniel Fenwick				
CRT	Lack of appropriate governance / information governance arrangements	action, financial penalties,	3	2	6	Herbert	Reviews to reduce bureaucracy planned	Sarah Homer	3	1	3	
	in place, IT failure	cost of corrective action, data breaches, loss of critical services					Overview and Scrutiny committees are embedded into governance framework and a Overview and Scrutiny Board established to oversee arrangements	Leader				
							Local and Corporate Health and Safety Groups operate reviewing incidents and near misses for lessons learned. Health and Safety training provided where deemed necessary	Director of Asset Management (oneSource)				
							Robust legal process delivers signed contracts or memorandum of understanding	Daniel Fenwick				
							Robust governance arrangements in place and roles and responsibilities clearly defined	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
							Corporate contract monitoring approach defined and guidance and support for all contract managers available	Jane West				
							Risks of the Alternative Service Delivery clearly outlined during the decision-making process	Sarah Homer				
	Failure of alternative service delivery (ASD) models. (Replaces	Council objectives not					Horizon scanning of opportunities across London and neighbouring areas including watch on strategic and partnership agenda	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
	G3, R2 & R3)	achieved, reputational damage, financial					Retention of good working relationships with neighbouring Leaders	Leader				
CR2	Lack of appropriate governance arrangements in place, poor contract	penalties, cost of corrective action, poor	2	3	6	SLT	oneSource:- Ongoing review and update of Scheme of Delegation	Daniel Fenwick	2	1	2	
	management, poor partnership arrangements, lack of business	service delivery and resilience					oneSource:- Induction and training for oneSource managers	Jane West				
	continuity/emergency planning						oneSource:- Personal Develoment Reviews and 1:1s regulary undertaken and monitored	Caroline Nugent				
							oneSource:- Ongoing review and update of governance arrangements (see also R1).	Daniel Fenwick				
							Public Health:- Shared / integrated strategies for health	Susan Milner				

Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	<b>Ass</b> Curre	Curren essme Risk ent conf place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Ass	Risk	ent of	
No.			Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
	Potential harm to people we owe a duty of care  The risk is that adult social care fails in its duty of care, particularly to the vulnerable in society, and a service user is harmed or dies as a						Safeguarding practices are robust	Barbara Nicholls				
							Deprevation of Liberty Safeguards capacity is appropriate	Barbara Nicholls				
	liberty. • Individuals who lack capacity or who have insufficient ability to						Workforce measures	Barbara Nicholls				
	manage their own finances do not have their finances appropriately						Multi agency working	Barbara Nicholls				
	safeguarded.  • Pressures in the NHS have a knock on impact on community	Safeguarding issues occur - individuals are placed at risk of harm or					Provider engagement	John Green				

Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Ass	Currer essme Risk ent con place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	<b>Ass</b> With	ontrol essme Risk ALL co	ent of	
No.	Dotalio O. Filon 210 ii		Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Not Carried	minguing routine, control		Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
CR3	services meaning that discharges aren't safe.  Growing demands for services can lead to waiting lists meaning that people have to wait longer for assessment and thus for support services.  Workforce recruitment and retention issues and high staff turnover impact on the quality of care assessments which thus impacts on care decisions.  Provider capacity or instability means that services cannot be put in place when needed.  Provider quality leads to safeguarding concerns.  Advocates are not provided when there is a need for independent representation meaning the induvial voice is not heard.	e Individual wellbeing not considered. Increased complaints. Legal challenge. Reputational damage. Financial risk. Legal challenge. Reputational damage. Financial risk	4	2	8	Barbara Nicholls			3	2	6	
		Worst case scenario, statutory intervention by					Bi-annual review of the Medium Term Financial Strategy is undertaken to update and refine forecast expenditure, income and funding projections. The identification of legislative changes, financial pressures, risks and opportunities and the development of strategies to manage future service and financial delivery  Each Senior Leadership Team member to individually manage their	Debbie Middleton				
CR4	Balanced Budget  The Council has a statutory requirement to set and deliver a balanced budget on an annual basis. It is also necessary to achieve financial sustainability	the s151 Officer via the issue of a s114 notice to Council under the LG Act 1972. Triggering statutory process resulting in loss of financial control by the SLT,involvement of External Audit and DCLG in the financial recovery of the organisation.	4	3	12	Debbie Middleton	directorate budgets on an on-going basis Each Senior Leadership Team member to individually manage and monitor the delivery of agreed savings initiatives to realise agreed savings.  Collectively review and challenge the monthly financial monitoring, savings tracker and forecasting reports for revenue and capital expenditure  Quarterly in depth review of financial monitoring, forecasting and financial health indicators	Debbie Middleton	4	2	8	

Risk No.	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Ass	Currer essme Risk ent con place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	<b>Ass</b> With	ontrollessme Risk ALL co	ent of	
No.	Details of NISK Event	Negative Consequences	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	NISK OWIG	mingating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
	over the medium term.	Measures to achieve a balanced budget may result in: - Reduction or cessation of					Operate the corporate annual budget development cycle to develop options for savings and income generation to balance the budget over the medium term to align with the delivery of the Corporate Plan.	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
		service delivery - Resident dissatisfaction - Failure to deliver other statutory responsibilities - Reputational damage					Establish corporate standards for financial implications within strategic decision making reports.  Establish and enforce corporate process for input and clearance of decision making reports to ensure appropriate input by legal, finance and HR and technical professionals.	Paul Thorogood				
		Council objectives not achieved, reputational damage, financial					Robust governance arrangements in place and roles and responsibilities clearly defined	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
		penalties, cost of corrective action, poor service delivery and					Robust programme and project management system in place and being used appropriately	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
	Failure to appropriately manage and deliver organisational change.	resilience, insufficiently skilled workforce capacity,					Robust legal process delivers signed contracts or memorandum of understanding	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
R5	(Replaces R1)	demotivated staff, unable to deliver key projects, programmes or services,	3	3	9	Sarah Homer	Corporate contract monitoring approach defined and guidance and support for all contract managers available	Andrew Blake-Herbert	3	2	6	
	arrangements in place, pace of change	reduced productivity.					Horizon scanning of opportunities across London and neighbouring areas including watch on strategic and partnership agenda	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
							Retention of good working relationships with neighbouring Leaders	Andrew Blake-Herbert				
							Ongoing review and update of Scheme of Delegation	Andrew Blake-Herbert	]			
							Risks of the Alternative Service Delivery clearly outlined during the decision-making process	Sarah Homer				

Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Asse	Curren essme Risk nt cont place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Asso With	ontrollessme Risk ALL co % in p	ent of	
No.	Details of Nisk Event	regulive consequences	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	NISK OWNER	magaing Adions / Controls	Control owner	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
							Business continuity plans in place, regularly monitored and tested	Sarah Homer				
							Robust Emergency Plans in place, regulary monitored and tested	Sarah Homer				
							Borough Resilience Forum	Sarah Homer				
							Havering Community Safety Partnership	Andrew Blake-Herbert	-			
	Major system failure or natural disaster.	Failure to provide a					Borough Risk Register reviewed and maintained with partner organisations	Sarah Homer				
CR6	Lack of effective business continuityplans/emergency planning, poor defences in place (e.g. flooding)	minimum service level to the Borough, it's residents and staff.	4	3	12	Sarah Homer	Plans are ongoing to incorporate activation and escalation of ICT systems with resilient 24/7 maintenance, especially in the identification of single points of failure and criticality	Priya Javeri	4	2	8	
							Plans to incorporate the communications systems linked through ICT ensuring resilience is maintained.	Priya Javeri				
							Project ongoing to moved shared ICT areas to a different platform to increase reslieince and provide offsite backup to cloud.	Priya Javeri				
							Effective and robust multi-agency safeguarding proccesses are inplace via the Multi-AgencySafeguarding Hub (MASH)	Tim Aldridge				
	Potential harm ot children we owe a duty of care The risk is that	occur, children are placed					Quality assurance, case supervision and audit activity takes places regularly	Tim Aldridge				
CR8	Childrens Social Care fails in its duty of care to children and a child is haremd or dies as a result of	at risk of harm or abuse. Increased complaints. Legal challenge. Reputational damage.	4	4	12	Tim Aldridge	Workforce Development and Retention plans in palce	Kate Dempsey	4	2	8	
	those failures	Financial Risk					Havering Safeguarding Board provides oversight through partnerhsip	Tim Aldridge				
							Financial Recovery plans and are being monitored	Tim Aldridge				
							Ofsted Improvement plan agreed and is rgularly monitored	Tim Aldridge				

Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Curre	Currentessme Risk nt cont	nt of	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	<b>Ass</b> With	ontroll essme Risk ALL co	nt of	
No.			Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
									_			
									- - -			
									_			
									-			
									-			

Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Ass	Curren essme Risk ent cont place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Ass	essme Risk ALL co	nt of	
No.	Details of NISK Event	Negative Consequences	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	KISK OWITE	mingating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
									-			
									-			
									-			

			Curren essme Risk	nt of					ontroll essme Risk	nt of	
Ris No	Negative Consequences		nt cont place		Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	With 100	ALL co % in p		
		Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	

	sk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Ass	Curren essme Risk ent cont place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	With	Risk ALL c	ent of	
N	0.	betans of Nisk Event	Negative oonsequences	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	NISK OWNER	mingating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
										_			

Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences	Curre	Curren essme Risk nt cont place	ent of trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	<b>Ass</b> With	ontroll essme Risk ALL co	nt of	
No.			Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	
									-			
									-			
									-			

			Curren essme Risk	nt of					ontrol essme Risk	nt of	
Risl No.	Negative Consequences		nt cont place		Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner	With 100	ALL co % in p		
		Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	

				Currer essme Risk	ent of					ontrol essmo Risk	ent of	
Risk	Details of Risk Event	Negative Consequences		nt con place	trols in	Risk Owner	Mitigating Actions / Controls	Control Owner		ALL c	ontrols place	
No.			Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Risk Rating	